arXiv:1104.4606v1 [math.LO] 24 Apr 2011

Universal Algebra and Mathematical Logic

Zhaohua Luo

In this paper, first-order logic is interpreted in the framework of universal algebra, using the clone theory developed in three previous papers [1] [2] and [3].

We first define the free clone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ of terms of a first order language $\mathcal{L}$ over a set $\mathcal{C}$ of parameters in a standard way. The free right algebra $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ of formulas over the clone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ of terms is then generated by atomic formulas vis a binary operation $\Rightarrow$ and a unary operation $\forall$. The classical unary operations $\forall x_1, \forall x_2, ...$ are derived from $\forall$ via substitutions. Structures for $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ are represented as perfect valuations of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$, and theories of $\mathcal{L}$ are represented as filters of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\varnothing)$. Finally Godel's completeness theorem and first incompleteness theorem are stated as expected.

A *(first order) language* is a nonempty set $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of *n-ary function symbols* and *n-ary predicate symbols* for each $n \geqslant 0$. We assume $\mathcal{L}$ contains a 0-ary predicate symbol F. We say $\mathcal{L}$ is a *language with equality* if $\mathcal{L}$ contains a 2-ary predicate symbol $\approx$.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, ...\}$ be a fixed set of *variables*. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a (possibly empty) set of *parameters*. The terms $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ form the smallest set of expressions containing 0-ary function symbols, variables and parameters, which is closed under the formation rule: if $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms of $\mathcal{L}$ and if $f \in \mathcal{L}$ is an n-ary function symbol, then the expression $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a term of $\mathcal{L}$.

An *atomic formula* of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ is either a 0-ary predicate symbol, or an expression of the form $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ where $P \in \mathcal{L}$ is any n-ary predicate symbol and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are terms of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$. The *formulas* $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ form the smallest set of expressions containing the atomic formulas and closed under the formation rule: if A, B are formulas so are the expressions $(A \Rightarrow B)$ and $(\forall A)$. We shall follow the usual conventions to eliminate parentheses.

In the following we assume $P, Q, R \in \mathcal{L}$ are predicate symbols, $f, g, h \in \mathcal{L}$ are

---

Email address: `zluo@azd.com`.
URL: `http://www.algebraic.net/cag`.

function symbols, $x, y, z \in X = \{x_1, x_2, ...\}$ are variables, $c, c_1, c_2, ... \in \mathcal{C}$ are parameters, $s, s_1, s_2, ..., t, t_1, t_2, ... \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ are terms over $\mathcal{C}$, and $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ are formulas over $\mathcal{C}$.

Define a mapping $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})^\omega \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ inductively as follows:
(i) $x_i[t_1, t_2, ...] = t_i$.
(ii) $c[t_1, t_2, ...] = c$.
(iii) $f(t_1, ..., t_n)[s_1, s_2, ...] = f(t_1[s_1, s_2, ...], t_2[s_1, s_2, ...], ...])$.

Define a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})^\omega \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ inductively as follows:
1. $P(s_1, ..., s_n)[t_1, t_2, ...] = P(s_1[t_1, t_2, ...], ..., s_n[t_1, t_2, ...])$.
2. $(A \Rightarrow B)[t_1, t_2, ...] = (A[t_1, t_2, ...] \Rightarrow B[t_1, t_2, ...])$.
3. $(\forall A)[t_1, t_2, ...] = \forall(A[x_1, t_1^+, t_2^+, ...])$ where $t_i^+ = t_i[x_2, x_3, ...]$.

Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \varnothing)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \varnothing)$. Note that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ for any $\mathcal{C}$. Define $\neg A := (A \Rightarrow \mathsf{F})$, $x \approx y :=\approx (x, y)$ and $A[t/x_i] := A[x_1, ..., x_{i-1}, t, x_{i+1}, ...]$. Define $\forall^0 A = A$ and $\forall^n A = \forall(\forall^{n-1} A)$ for $n > 1$ inductively.

Proposition 1 *(cf. [3]) 1.* $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ *is a locally finite clone, which is a free algebra over the free basis* $X \cup \mathcal{C}$ *with function symbols as the signature.*
2. $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ *is a locally finite free predicate algebra over the clone* $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ *generated by atomic formulas.*

Suppose D is a term or formula. We say D is *independent* of a variable x_i if $D = D[x_{i+1}/x_i]$. If D is not independent of x then we say that x is *free* in D. The set of free variables in D is always finite. Let $D^+ := D[x_2, x_3, ...]$ and $D^- := D[x_1, x_1, x_2, ...]$. Then $(D^+)^- = D$. We say D has a *rank* $n \geqslant 0$ if $D = D[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n, ...]$.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ the set of formulas with a rank $n \geqslant 0$. If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ then $(A \Rightarrow B), (\forall A), (\forall x_i)A \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$. If $n > 0$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$ then $(\forall A), (\forall x_n)A \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{C})$. A *sentence* is a formula with a rank 0. If a formula A has a rank $n > 0$ then $\forall^n A = (\forall x_n)...(\forall x_1)A$ is a sentence.

For any variable x_i let $(\forall x_i)A := \forall(A[x_2, x_3, ..., x_i, x_1, x_{i+2}, ...])$.

Lemma 2 *1.* $(\forall x)A$ *is independent of* x.
2. $(\forall x)A = (\forall y)(A[y/x])$ *if* A *is independent of* y.
3. $((\forall x_i)A)[t_1, t_2, ...] = (\forall y)(A[t_1, ..., t_{i-1}, y, t_{i+1}, ...])$ *if* t_j *is independent of* y *for any* $j \neq i$ *such that* x_j *is free in* A.

Lemma 3 *1.* $\forall A = (\forall x_i)(A[x_i, x_1, x_2, ...])$ *if* A *is independent of* x_{i+1}.
2. $(\forall x)A = \forall(A^+)$ *if* A *is independent of* x.
3. $(\forall x_1)A = (\forall A)^+$ *and* $\forall A = ((\forall x_1)A)^-$.
4. $(\forall x_i)A = ((\forall x_1)(A[x_2, x_3, ..., x_i, x_1, x_{i+2}, ...]))^-$.

A *perfect valuation* (or *Henkin valuation*) of $\mathcal{L}$ (over $\mathcal{C}$) is a subset U of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ satisfying the following conditions for any $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ and $t, t_1, t_2, ... \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$:
1. $\mathsf{F} \notin U$.
2. $(A \Rightarrow B) \in U$ iff $A \notin U$ or $B \in U$.
3. $(\forall A) \in U$ iff $A[t, x_1, x_2, ...] \in U$ for any term t. (or equivalently, for any x, $(\forall x)A \in U$ iff $A[t/x] \in U$ for any term t).
If $\mathcal{L}$ is a language with equality then we also assume that the following conditions are satisfied.
4. $\forall^n(x \approx x) \in U$ for any $n \geqslant 0$.
5. $\forall^n(x \approx y \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow A[y/x])) \in U$ for any $n \geqslant 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ the set of atomic formulas of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$. A subset E of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ such that $\mathsf{F} \notin E$ is called an *atomic valuation* of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ is generated by atomic formulas under operations $\Rightarrow$ and $\forall$ inductively, a perfect valuation U of $\mathcal{L}$ is uniquely determined by the atomic valuation $U \cap \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$. Conversely any atomic valuation determines a perfect valuation for any language $\mathcal{L}$ without equality.

If $U \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ is a perfect valuation and $t_1, t_2, ... \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$, let $U_{(t_1,t_2,...)} := \{A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C}) \mid A[t_1, t_2, ...] \in U\}$. A subset W of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C})$ is called a *valuation* of $\mathcal{L}$ (over $\mathcal{C}$) if $W = U_{(t_1,t_2,...)}$ for some U and $t_1, t_2, ...$ as above. A subset V of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is called a *logical valuation* of $\mathcal{L}$ if there is a valuation W of $\mathcal{L}$ (over some set $\mathcal{C}$ of parameters) such that $V = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \cap W$. A subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is called *logically closed* if it is an intersection of logical valuations of $\mathcal{L}$. A formula $A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is called *logically valid* if it belongs to any logical valuation of $\mathcal{L}$.

A *structure* for $\mathcal{L}$ is a pair $\mathcal{M} = (M, \gamma)$ where M is a set and γ is an operation with domain $\mathcal{L}$ such that
(i) if $f \in \mathcal{L}$ is an n-ary function symbol then, then $\gamma(f) : M^n \to M$.
(ii) if $P \in \mathcal{L}$ is an n-ary predicate symbol then, then $\gamma(P) \subset M^n$.
(iii) $\gamma(\mathsf{F}) = \varnothing$.
(iv) $(m_1, m_2) \in \gamma(\approx)$ iff $m_1 = m_2$.

Any structure $\mathcal{M} = (M, \gamma)$ determines a left algebra M over the clone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}, M)$ such that $f(x_1, ..., x_n)[m_1, m_2, ...] = \gamma(f)(m_1, ..., m_n)$ and $m[m_1, m_2, ...] = m$ for any elements $m, m_1, m_2, ... \in M$. Any fixed sequence $m_1, m_2, ... \in M$ then determines a perfect valuation U of $\mathcal{L}$ over M such that $P(t_1, ..., t_n) \in U$ iff $(t_1[m_1, m_2, ...], ..., t_n[m_1, m_2, ...]) \in \gamma(P)$. Conversely, any perfect valuation U of $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{C}$ determines a structure $(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{C}), \gamma)$ such that $\gamma(f)(t_1, ..., t_n) = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ and $(t_1, ..., t_n) \in \gamma(P)$ iff $P(t_1, ..., t_n) \in U$.

Suppose $A, B, C \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$. The following formulas are called *(first order) axioms*:

A1. $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow A)$.
A2. $(A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C)) \Rightarrow ((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C))$.
A3. $\neg(\neg A) \Rightarrow A$.
A4. $\forall(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\forall A \Rightarrow \forall B)$.
A5. $\forall A \Rightarrow A[t, x_1, x_2, ...]$ for any term $t \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$.
A6. $A \Rightarrow \forall(A^+)$.
If $\mathcal{L}$ is a language with equality then the following formulas are also axioms:
A7. $x \approx x$.
A8. $x \approx y \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow A[y/x])$.
Furthermore if A is an axiom then $\forall A$ is an axiom.

Note that A4-A6 are equivalent to the following A4′-A6′ for any variable x:
A4′. $(\forall x)(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow ((\forall x)A \Rightarrow (\forall x)B)$.
A5′. $(\forall x)A \Rightarrow A[t/x]$ for any term $t \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$.
A6′. $A \Rightarrow (\forall x)A$ if A is independent of x.

A *(first order) filter* of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ is a subset I of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ containing all the axioms such that if $A, (A \Rightarrow B) \in I$ then $B \in I$. If S is any subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ denote by $Con(S)$ the intersection of all logical valuations containing S. Denote by $Ded(S)$ the intersection of all filters containing S. Write $S \models A$ if $A \in Con(S)$, $S \vdash A$ if $A \in Ded(S)$, and $S \not\vdash A$ if $A \notin Ded(S)$.

Theorem 4 *1. (Soundness Theorem) Any logically closed set is a filter.*
2. (Completeness Theorem) Any filter is logically closed.
3. $S \models A$ iff $S \vdash A$ (i.e. $Con(S) = Ded(S)$).

A *theory* of $\mathcal{L}$ is a set T of sentences. We say a theory T is *consistent* if there is no formula A such that $T \vdash A$ and $T \vdash \neg A$. A theory T is *complete* if for any sentence A, we have $T \vdash A$ iff $T \not\vdash \neg A$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_a$ be a language with equality and function symbols $\mathbf{0}$, $'$, $\cdot$ and $+$.

Let T_a be the theory consisting of the following sentences of $\mathcal{L}_a$:
(S1) $(\forall x)(\neg(\mathbf{0} \approx x'))$.
(S2) $(\forall x)(\forall y)((x' \approx y') \Rightarrow (x \approx y))$.
(S3) $(\forall x)(x + \mathbf{0} \approx x)$.
(S4) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x + y' \approx (x + y)')$.
(S5) $(\forall x)(x \cdot \mathbf{0} \approx \mathbf{0})$.
(S6) $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x \cdot y' \approx x \cdot y + x)$.
(S7) $(\forall x_n)...(\forall x_1)(A[\mathbf{0}/x] \Rightarrow ((\forall x)(A \Rightarrow A[x'/x]) \Rightarrow (\forall x)A))$ for any formula A of rank $n > 0$.

Theorem 5 *(Incompleteness Theorem). Assume T_a is consistent. Then it is not complete.*

References

[1] Z. Luo, *Clones and Genoids in Lambda Calculus and First Order Logic*, preprint, arXiv:0712.3088v2.

[2] Z. Luo, *Clone Theory: Its Syntax and Semantics, Applications to Universal Algebra, Lambda Calculus and Algebraic Logic*, preprint, arXiv:0810.3162.

[3] Z. Luo, *Clone Theorey and Algebraic Logic*, preprint, arXiv:0907.4531v1.